



**JUNIOR NATIONAL DEBATING CHAMPIONSHIPS
CHAMPIONNAT NATIONAL DE DÉBAT FRANÇAIS**

MONTREAL, QC

**MAY 23 - 26, 2019
LE 23 À 26 MAI, 2019**

**GENEROUSLY HOSTED BY / ORGANIZÉ PAR:
Lower Canada College
&
The Québec Student Debating
Association**



PRIME MINISTER • PREMIER MINISTRE

May 23-26, 2019

Dear Friends:

I am pleased to extend my warmest greetings to everyone attending the Junior and French National Debating Championships.

This event brings over 150 students from across Canada to Montreal to hone their debating skills while making friends with their peers. I am certain that participants will benefit from this unique educational and cultural opportunity.

I would like to thank the Quebec Student Debating Association for putting together an informative and enriching program for everyone in attendance.

Please accept my best wishes for a most productive and enjoyable event.

Yours sincerely,

The Rt. Hon. Justin P.J. Trudeau, P.C., M.P.
Prime Minister of Canada





PRIME MINISTER • PREMIER MINISTRE

Du 23 au 26 mai 2019

Chères amies, chers amis,

Je suis heureux de présenter mes salutations les plus chaleureuses à celles et ceux qui prennent part au Championnat national de débat pour les jeunes et au Championnat national de débat en français.

Cet événement, qui se tient à Montréal, rassemble plus de 150 élèves de partout au Canada souhaitant perfectionner leurs compétences à débattre tout en tissant des liens d'amitié avec leurs pairs. Je suis convaincu que les participantes et les participants sauront profiter de cette compétition éducative et culturelle unique.



Je tiens à remercier l'Association des débats d'étudiants du Québec d'avoir mis sur pied un programme intéressant et enrichissant pour toutes les personnes présentes.

Je vous souhaite une activité des plus fructueuses et agréables.

Cordialement,

Le très hon. Justin P. J. Trudeau, C.P., député
Premier ministre du Canada

Mot de la mairesse, Mme Valérie Plante

Junior Debating Championships 2019

Les débats font partie intégrante de la vie démocratique. Ils permettent de soulever des questions importantes pour notre société, et de faciliter le partage d'idées et d'opinions.

Aujourd'hui, plus que jamais, le citoyen est reconnu comme un acteur clé dans la prise de décision et l'élaboration des projets qui le concernent. Il est donc de la responsabilité des instances décisionnelles en place d'être à l'écoute de la population et de mettre à sa disposition des moyens lui permettant de s'exprimer sur les aspects qui touchent son milieu et sa qualité de vie.

Consciente de cette réalité incontournable, mon administration favorise et encourage ardemment la discussion collective et la participation accrue de toutes les Montréalaises et tous les Montréalais. Pour ce faire, nous mettons en place diverses initiatives telles que des consultations citoyennes, des plateformes numériques de sondages, etc.

Ainsi, j'applaudis des initiatives telles que le *Junior National Debating Championships 2019* qui a des retombées positives pour les jeunes de Montréal en favorisant des valeurs d'affirmation de soi et d'implication sociale.

Je tiens d'ailleurs à remercier les organisateurs de l'événement et j'encourage tous les jeunes à participer activement à des débats respectueux et enrichissants!

Bon succès à tous!

Debates are an integral part of any democracy. They allow for discussion around key issues of importance to our society, and provide a platform to exchange ideas and opinions.

Today, more than ever, citizens are recognized as key actors in decision-making and in the development of projects that are relevant to them. Decisional bodies are therefore called upon to listen to the population and to provide tools enabling citizens to express themselves regarding issues that impact them or their living environment.

Well aware of this reality, my administration fervently encourages collective discussion and the increased participation of all Montrealers. In doing so, we are rolling out various citizen consultation initiatives, digital platforms, surveys, etc.

This is why I applaud initiatives such as the *Junior National Debating Championships 2019*, an event that generates positive benefits for young Montrealers, by encouraging assertiveness and social commitment.

I would also like to thank the organizers of this event and to invite all young participants to engage in respectful and fruitful debates!

I wish you great success!



Valérie Plante
Mairesse de Montréal
Mayor of Montréal

Montréal 





Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

QSDA President's Remarks / Remarques du président

Greetings!

On behalf of the Quebec Student Debating Association and all of its member schools, it is my privilege to welcome you to Lower Canada College and La Belle Province for the 2018-19 Canadian Junior National Debating Championships and the Championnat National De Débat Français.

The Junior Nats is one of the most exciting tournaments on the Canadian High School circuit because it gives everyone a chance to observe and recognize upcoming debaters from across the country. Many of you have been competing all year against teams who are much older and with much more experience, and that experience, while formative, can sometimes be disheartening. Now is your time to shine, as you compete against your peers.

Remember that this is a National tournament, and there are likely to be no "easy" rounds. Almost everyone at this tournament has had to qualify to be here through competition, so all of you are already good debaters. At the end of three intense days of competition, a winner and National Champion will be selected. If it were up to me, you would all be declared champions, but unfortunately this is a competitive event, and there must be winners and losers. Be proud of your victories, and learn from your losses.

To all of the coaches and mentors who have accompanied the debaters, I welcome you as well. You are an essential part of this tournament, and nothing that we are able to do would be possible without your guidance, judging, and support.

I look forward to speaking with and learning from all of you.

Good luck to all of our competitors!

Sincerely:
Jonathan Bracewell
President
Quebec Student Debating Association



Junior National Debating Championships
Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Organizing Committee / Comité organisateur

Chris George

Tournament Organizer

**JUNIOR NATIONAL DEBATING
CHAMPIONSHIPS /**

**CHAMPIONNAT NATIONAL DE DÉBAT
JUNIOR**

**FRENCH NATIONAL DEBATING
CHAMPIONSHIPS /**

**CHAMPIONNAT NATIONAL DE DÉBAT
FRANÇAIS**

Chris George
Tournament Chair

Jon Bracewell
Chief Adjudicator

Alyson Duff
Tabs Director

David Smith
Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Julia Leb
Assistant Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Michael DiGiorgio
Assistant Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Zac Bensemana
Assistant Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Nicole Ratti
Tournament Chair & Tabs Director

Guillaume Laroche
Chief Adjudicator

Margo Pinault
Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Emily Bonia
Deputy Chief Adjudicator

Michele Owen

Host Site Manager

We want to extend our thanks to the many people who have supported this event in some way, including the many judges, student and staff support from Lower Canada College.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Prepared Motion / La motion préparée

This House believes that provincial governments in Canada should have full authority over environmental legislation.

Cette assemblée accorderait aux législatures provinciales du Canada la juridiction exclusive de faire des lois relatives à l'environnement.

Info/Context:

On April 1, 2019, the Canadian government implemented the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act which imposed a federal carbon tax on four provinces who did not meet federal requirements for carbon pricing. This legislation has been constitutionally challenged in two provinces and is currently before the Ontario Court of Appeal and the Saskatchewan Court of Appeal.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Schedule of Events

Thursday, May 23, 2019

Delegates arrive all day. You are responsible for your own taxi transportation to the Best Western Ville-Marie Hotel, 3407 Peel Street, at the corner of Peel and Sherbrooke for registration. The alternate hotel is Le Cantille Suites, across the road, at 1110 Sherbrooke St. West. We encourage you to arrive earlier in the day, and take advantage of free time to do sight-seeing if you wish, or shop, or experience some of the many tourist and cultural opportunities.

10:00 a.m. - 8:00 p.m. Registration at the Best Western Hotel in the Lobby – VIP Lounge

Dinner is provided for out-of-town participants
“Burger Bar Crescent”, located at 1465 Crescent St.
Reservations in advance required.

9:00 p.m. Coaches’ Reception
Best Western Hotel Hospitality Suite

Friday, May 24, 2019

Debaters should dress casually and comfortably for the day’s activities. You need to bring your debate clothes to change into later at the school.

7:30 a.m. – 8:30 a.m. Breakfast at Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

Breakfast is at your leisure, and we encourage, even require, that a large number of you come earlier in the time window. In fact, breakfast should be available 15 or even 30 minutes before the scheduled start time.

8:30 a.m. – 9:00 a.m. Opening Address and Welcome
Introduction and Welcome from Team Building Montreal

9:00 a.m. Buses leave Best Western Hotel

9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m. “Pencil and Paper Rally” in Old Montreal

11:45 a.m. Buses leave Old Montreal

12:00 p.m. – 1:00 p.m. BBQ Lunch at the Lachine Canal
Announce Winners of the Rally

1:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. “Ramp Building Activity”



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

2:30 p.m. – 3:45 p.m.	Free time Explore Atwater Market, eat ice cream or prepare for the debate
3:45 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.	Buses Depart Lachine for Lower Canada College
4:00 p.m.	Arrival at Lower Canada College Get changed into debate attire
4:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.	Junior Nationals – Debaters’ and Judges’ Briefing Saputo Auditorium
4:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.	French Nationals – Debaters’ and Judges’ Briefing Chamandy Auditorium
5:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.	Round 1 – Prepared Topic
6:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.	Dinner – Dining Hall Catered by Schwartz’s Deli
7:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m.	Round 2 – Prepared Topic
8:00 p.m.	Buses depart back to the Best Western Hotel
9:00 p.m.	Coaches’ and Adults’ Gathering Best Western Hotel – Hospitality Suite

Saturday, May 25, 2019

Debaters should be dressed for debating for the day and should bring casual clothes to change into after the debating.

7:30 a.m.	Buses depart Best Western Hotel for Lower Canada College
8:00 a.m.	Full breakfast for all out of town debaters and coaches Lower Canada College – Dining Hall
8:30 a.m. - 9:00 a.m.	Judges’ Registration – Memorial Gym Refreshments for all local debaters and judges is provided
9:00 a.m.	Announcement of Round 3 Junior pairings Judges’ Briefing – Junior Nationals Saputo Auditorium



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

9:00 a.m.	Announcement of Round 3 French pairings Judges' Briefing – French Nationals Chamandy Auditorium
9:30 a.m. – 10:20 a.m.	Round 3 – Impromptu
10:30 a.m.	Announcement of Round 4 pairings
11:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.	Round 4 – Impromptu
12:00 p.m.	Lunch – Lower Canada College – Dining Hall
1:00 p.m.	Announcement of Round 5 pairings
1:30 p.m. – 2:20 p.m.	Round 5 – Impromptu
2:30 p.m.	Announcement of Round 6 pairings
3:00 p.m. – 3:50 p.m.	Round 6 – Impromptu
<i>Students get changed after debating into casual clothes.</i>	
4:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.	Buses Depart for Old Montreal
5:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m.	Dinner and Boat Cruise
7:45 p.m.	Board bus for hotel
8:00 p.m.	Arrival back at Best Western Hotel

Coaches and debaters are free to make their own way back to the Best Western Hotel if they wish to stay in Old Montreal after the cruise. Please inform your bus leader if you will not be taking the bus back at this time, and students must be under supervision of their chaperone.

FOR COACHES AND ADULTS:

9:00 p.m.	Junior Nationals – Annual General Meeting Coaches' Gathering Best Western Hotel – Hospitality Suite
-----------	---



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Sunday, May 26, 2019

Students should be dressed up for the day, especially if you are debating, although business casual may pass if you are not. Only those debaters who have qualified for the break in their respective tournament will be debating today, however, all participants are expected for the day.

8:00 a.m. Breakfast – Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

Breakfast is at your leisure, and we encourage, even require, that a large number of you come earlier in the time window. In fact, breakfast should be available 15 or even 30 minutes before the schedule start time.

9:00 a.m. Break Announcement – Junior Nationals and French Nationals
Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

9:00 a.m. Junior Nationals – Quarter-Finals Pairings – Announcement
Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

9:30 a.m. - 10:30 a.m. **Junior Nationals – Quarter-Finals**
Debate #1 – Mont Royal I
Debate #2 – Mont Royal II
Debate #3 – Peel Room
Debate #4 – Boardroom

The “Peel Room” and “Boardroom” are relatively small by comparison and can accommodate only about 30 spectators in each. We will try to place debates appropriately, but we are going to need the vast majority to watch the debates in Mont Royal I and II. French debaters are welcome to watch debates in Mont Royal I and Mont Royal II.

10:30 a.m. Junior Nationals – Semi-Finalists Pairings Announcement
Best Western Hotel – Mont Royal II

French Nationals – Semi-Finalists Pairings Announcement
Best Western Hotel – Peel Room

11:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. **Junior Nationals – Semi – Finals**
Debate #1 – Mont Royal I
Debate #2 – Mont Royal II

French Nationals – Semi – Finals
Debate #1 – Peel Room
Debate #2 – Boardroom

12:00 p.m. - 1:00 p.m. Lunch – Grand Salon



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019

Montréal, Québec

1:00 p.m. Junior Nationals – Finals Pairings Announcement
French Nationals – Finals Pairings Announcement
Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m. **Junior Nationals Championship Round**
Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

1:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. **French Nationals Championship Round**
Best Western Hotel – Mont Royal II

2:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. Closing Awards Ceremony
Best Western Hotel – Grand Salon

You are responsible for your transportation after the tournament is over. Please arrange for flights after 6:00 p.m. An earlier departure from the train station would be viable.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Horaire des événements

Jeudi 23 mai, 2019

Les délégués arrivent au cours de la journée. Vous êtes responsable de vous rendre à l'hôtel Best Western Ville-Marie Hotel, 3407 rue Peel, coin Peel et Sherbrooke, pour l'inscription. L'hôtel alternatif est Le Cantille Suites, l'autre côté de la rue, au 1110 rue Sherbrooke Ouest. Nous vous encourageons d'arriver plus tôt dans la journée, et de passer du temps dans la ville, magasiner, ou profiter des nombreux attraits touristiques et culturels de Montréal.

10h - 20h Inscription à l'hôtel Best Western - Lobby – Lounge VIP

Le souper est offert pour les participants venant de l'extérieur au "Burger Bar Crescent", situé au 1465 rue Crescent.
Une réservation d'avance sera exigée.

21h Réception pour les entraîneurs
L'hôtel Best Western Suite Hospitality

Vendredi 24 mai, 2019

Les participants devraient s'habiller confortablement pour les activités de la journée. Apportez vos vêtements de débat avec vous, vous pourrez vous changer plus tard à l'école.

7h30 – 8h30 Déjeuner à l'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon

Le déjeuner est à votre aise, mais nous avons besoin que plusieurs d'entre vous soyez là plus tôt pendant notre réservation. Il est probable que le déjeuner pourrait commencer 15 ou même 30 minutes avant l'heure cédulée.

8h30 – 9h Mot de bienvenue
Présentation des responsables du tournoi

9h Autobus quittent l'hôtel Best Western

9h30 – 11h30 "Rallye crayon-papier" dans le Vieux-Montréal

11h45 Autobus quittent le Vieux-Montréal

12h – 13h Diner BBQ au Canal Lachine
Annonce des gagnants du rallye

13h – 14h30 Activité : construction d'une rampe



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

14h30 – 15h45	Temps libre Explorez le marché Atwater, prenez une crème glacée, ou préparez-vous pour la compétition.
15h45 – 16h	Autobus quittent Lachine pour Lower Canada College
16h	Arrivée, Lower Canada College Les participants peuvent changer de vêtements, au besoin
16h30 – 17h	Nationaux Junior – Séance pour les juges et participants Auditorium Saputo
16h30 – 17h	Championnat national – Séance pour les juges et participants Auditorium Chamandy
17h – 18h	Ronde 1 – Motion préparée
18h – 19h	Souper Traiteur : Chez Schwartz's, Charcuterie hébraïque
19h – 20h	Ronde 2 – Motion préparée
20h	Autobus quittent L'hôtel Best Western
21h	Rencontre – entraîneurs et adultes L'hôtel Best Western – Suite Hospitality

Samedi 25 mai, 2019

Les participants devraient être vêtus pour débattre, et apporter une tenue relaxe pour se changer après les débats.

7h30	Autobus quittent l'hôtel Best Western vers Lower Canada College
8h	Déjeuner pour participants de l'extérieur Lower Canada College – Dining Hall
8h30 - 9h	Inscription des juges – Gymnase Memorial Collations/brevages sont disponibles
9h	Annonce de la Ronde Junior 3 Séance pour les juges – Junior Auditorium Saputo



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

9h	Annonce de la Ronde Sénior en français 3 Séance pour les juges – Français/Sénior Auditorium Chamandy
9h30 – 10h20	Ronde 3 – Impromptue
10h30	Annonce de la Ronde 4
11h – 12h	Ronde 4 – Impromptue
12h	Diner – Lower Canada College – Dining Hall
13h	Annonce de la Ronde 5
13h30 – 14h20	Ronde 5 – Impromptue
14h30	Annonce de la Ronde 6
15h – 15h50	Ronde 6 – Impromptue
<i>Suite au débat, les participants peuvent se changer et mettre leur tenue relaxe.</i>	
16h – 17h	Autobus quittent pour le Vieux-Montréal
17h – 20h	Souper-croisière
20h	Embarquement de l'autobus
20h30	L'autobus arrive à l'hôtel Best Western

Les adultes et participants sont libres de retourner à l'hôtel Best Western par leurs propres moyens s'ils préfèrent rester dans le Vieux-Montréal suite au souper. Prière d'informer votre capitaine d'autobus si vous anticipez ne pas reprendre l'autobus. Les participants doivent être accompagnés par leur superviseur.

Pour entraîneurs et adultes

21h	Nationaux Junior – Assemblée générale annuelle Rencontre des entraîneurs L'hôtel Best Western – Suite Hospitality
-----	---



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Dimanche 26 mai, 2019

Les participants doivent être vêtus pour la journée, surtout s'ils font toujours partie de leur compétition. Une tenue d'affaires décontractée est acceptable pour les élèves qui n'avancent pas aux rondes éliminatoires. Seuls les participants se qualifiant pour les éliminatoires débattront aujourd'hui. Toutefois, la présence de tous les participants est attendue pour la journée.

8h Déjeuner – L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon

Le déjeuner est à votre aise, mais nous avons besoin que plusieurs d'entre vous soyez là plus tôt pendant notre réservation. Il est probable que le déjeuner pourrait commencer 15 ou même 30 minutes avant l'heure cédulée.

9h Annonce des éliminatoires – Nationaux Junior et Championnat Sénior
L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon

9h Nationaux Junior – Annonce des quarts-de-finale
L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon

9h30 - 10h30 **Nationaux Junior – Quarts-de-finale**
Débat #1 – Mont Royal I
Débat #2 – Mont Royal II
Débat #3 – Peel
Débat #4 – Boardroom

Les salles Peel et Boardroom sont relativement petites et peuvent accueillir seulement une trentaine de spectateurs. La plupart des gens devront donc observer les Débats 1 et 2. Les participants du Championnat national sénior peuvent observer une des rondes Junior ci-dessus.

10h30 Nationaux Junior – Annonce des demi-finales
L'hôtel Best Western – Mont Royal II

Championnat national sénior – Annonce des demi-finales
L'hôtel Best Western – Salle Peel

11h - 12h **Nationaux Junior – Demi-finales**
Débat #1 – Mont Royal I
Débat #2 – Mont Royal II

Championnat national sénior – Semi – Finals
Débat #1 – Peel
Débat #2 – Boardroom

12h - 13h Dîner – Grand Salon



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019

Montréal, Québec

13h	Nationaux Junior –Annonce des finales Championnat national sénior – Annonce des finales L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon
13h30 – 14h30	Nationaux Junior : Finale L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon
13h30 – 14h30	Championnat national sénior : Finale L'hôtel Best Western – Mont Royal II
14h30 – 15h	Remise des prix et cérémonie de clôture L'hôtel Best Western – Grand Salon

Vous êtes responsable de votre transport suite à la conclusion du tournoi. Il est prudent de réserver des vols qui quittent seulement après 18h. Quitter un peu plus tôt depuis la Gare centrale est viable.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Canadian National Debate Format – Style Guide

1. Style

This is the style of debate which is used at the National Debating Championships. Individual provinces are strongly encouraged, but not required, to implement this style at their qualifying events. It is a cross between Parliamentary Debating and World's Style Debating.

2. Teams

Each team consists of two people, and the teams are called the “Proposition” and “Opposition”. Individual speakers are referred to as its First and Second Speakers.

3. Topics

Topics are to be on substantive issues. All motions will start with “This House ...”. No squirrelling is permitted.

4. The speaking order is as follows (junior speaking times):

First Proposition Speaker - Constructive Speech	6 minutes
First Opposition Speaker - Constructive Speech	6 minutes
Second Proposition Speaker - Constructive Speech	6 minutes
Second Opposition Speaker - Constructive Speech	6 minutes
First Opposition Speaker - Summary/Rebuttal	3 minutes
First Proposition Speaker - Summary/Rebuttal	3 minutes

5. Description of Constructive Speeches

- a) The first proposition speaker has to define the terms, establish the caseline and give the case division (who covers what points). This speaker will normally have two or three constructive arguments. The first speaker must make the team's approach crystal clear.
- b) The first opposition speaker must clash with the points just made by the first proposition and advance the caseline, case division and normally the first two arguments of the opposition side. In World's Style, this division is usually 2 minutes and 6 minutes (for senior times), although for our purposes these are just guidelines. The debater should be evaluated on the overall effectiveness of the speech. Constructive argumentation or refutation may be done first, and once again, the judges will consider the effectiveness of the strategy chosen.
- c) The second proposition speaker has to clash with the case presented by the first opposition speaker, and should advance one or two more constructive arguments for the proposition. The speaker should also take time to rebuild the proposition case.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

-
- d) The second opposition speaker should also introduce one or two constructive arguments. This speaker should also take time to clash with the new constructive matter presented by the second proposition, and summarize the opposition case presented. He/she should NOT engage in an overall summary / rebuttal of the debate.

6. Summary / Rebuttal Speeches

The first speaker on each side, starting with the Opposition, will deliver a four minute (or three minutes for juniors) summary and rebuttal speech. It was decided that there would be no set format for this speech, given the variety of valid strategies and techniques used. In general, speakers should attempt to summarize the key themes or ideas that have taken place in the debate. This speech tries to put the debate in context and explain the 'crux', or the internal logic of both cases and explains why, on this basis, his/her team has to win. It can examine and summarize the arguments presented, but should focus on the major areas of contention that evolved during the round. This is the final opportunity for a team to convince the judge why his/her team has won the round. During those speeches no new constructive arguments may be introduced except by the proposition debater who is exercising his/her right to reply to new arguments tendered during the final Opposition constructive speech. He/She can not introduce new lines of reasoning. The counter argumentation and counter example (or even counter illustration) must be in 'close and direct' opposition to the opposition points.

7. Points of Information

Points of Information, also known as POIs for short, are used in Worlds Style, plus a variety of other debating styles. Essentially, a POI is a question or statement that one makes while someone is giving a speech as a means of gaining a tactical advantage.

It is expected that every speaker offer and accept POIs during the round. POIs are only allowed during the constructive speeches, but not during the first and last minutes of these speeches (called "protected time"). During the round, the moderator will bang the desk after one minute has elapsed to signal that POIs are now allowed, and again with one minute remaining in a speech, to signal that time is once again protected. Points of information should be short and to the point.

To offer a Point of Information, a debater may stand silently, possibly extending an arm. A debater may also simply say "on a point of information", or "on that point". The speaker has control over whether to accept the point. One may not continue with their point of information unless the floor is yielded by the speaker. The speaker may do one of several things:

- a) reject the point briefly, perhaps by saying something like "no thank you" or "not at this time". The debater who stood on the point will sit down. It is also acceptable for a debater



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

to politely wave down the speaker without verbally rejecting it and disrupting his/her speech.

- b) accept the point, allow the point of information to be asked, and then proceed to address the point. A speaker may address the point briefly and move on, choose to merge an answer into what they were going to say, or state that they will deal with this later on (in which case be sure you do!)
- c) say something like “just a second”, or “when I finish this point”, and then yield the floor when they have finished their sentence or thought.

It is expected that each debater will attempt to accept two POIs during his/her remarks, although this can vary depending on how and when the POIs were attempted. Each debater on the opposing team should offer, at least, two POIs to the debater delivering the speech. Adjudicators should penalize teams for not offering any points of information. How well a debater handles themselves while offering and accepting POI's is key in this style of debate. Debaters will also be heavily penalized, and it is grounds for an adjudicator to award a loss, if they feel that a team is blatantly refusing to accept any points of information from the other team.

8. Evaluation

The ballot for this style of debate contains the following criteria: Content & Evidence, Argument & Reasoning, Organization, Presentation & Delivery, Refutation & Rebuttal. While points of information do not get marks on their own, they are weighted, perhaps significantly, in a judge's decision. Judges are encouraged to score holistically and award a final score that makes sense in both absolute and relative terms. The win-loss is critical, and judges must weigh this very carefully in their adjudication.

9. Standings

The standings are based primarily on win-loss records, with standard methods of bracketing each round.

10. Other Points

Points of order, points of personal privilege and heckling are all prohibited.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Le Format du Débat National Canadien - Guide de Style

1. Le Style

Le Format du Débat National Canadien (FDNC) est le nouveau style de débat qui doit être utilisé à tous les tournois de l'UODE, la seule exception étant les débats provinciaux des cadets.

On retrouve dans le FDNC des éléments du Débat Parlementaire, du Débat contreinterrogatoire et du Débat du Style Mondial au niveau de l'école secondaire. FDNC est le style qui est utilisé au Championnat de l'Équipe Provinciale de l'UODE et au Championnat des Débats des Écoles Secondaires Nationales.

2. Les équipes

Chaque équipe consiste en deux personnes et les équipes s'appellent « la Proposition » et « l'Opposition ». On doit appeler chaque interlocuteur le « Premier » et le « Deuxième » interlocuteur de l'équipe.

3. Les thèmes

Les thèmes doivent être basés sur des questions substantielles. Toutes les propositions vont commencer par « Cette chambre... ». Le 'squirreling' est interdit.

4. L'ordre du temps de parole :

L'interlocuteur de la première proposition – discours constructif 7 minutes
L'interlocuteur de la première opposition – discours constructif 7 minutes
L'interlocuteur de la deuxième proposition – discours constructif 7 minutes
L'interlocuteur de la deuxième opposition – discours constructif 7 minutes
L'interlocuteur de la première proposition – résumé, réfutation 3 minutes
L'interlocuteur de la première opposition – résumé, réfutation 3 minutes

5. La description des discours constructifs

- a) a) L'interlocuteur de la première proposition doit définir les termes, établir la déclaration du cas et donner la division du cas (qui va traiter quels points). D'habitude cet interlocuteur aura deux ou trois arguments constructifs. Ce premier interlocuteur doit présenter d'une façon précise la stratégie de son équipe.
- b) L'interlocuteur de la première opposition doit contredire les points qui viennent d'être faits par la première proposition et avancer la déclaration du cas, la division du cas et d'habitude les premiers deux arguments de l'opposition. Dans le Style Mondiale cette division est, typiquement, de 2 minutes et 6 minutes, mais dans ce cas ci ce sont seulement des lignes directrices. On doit baser l'évaluation de l'orateur sur l'efficacité générale du discours.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

L'argumentation constructive ou réfutation constructive peut être exécutée premièrement et, encore une fois, les juges doivent considérer l'efficacité de la stratégie choisie.

- c) L'interlocuteur de la deuxième proposition doit contredire le cas présenté par l'interlocuteur de la première opposition et doit avancer un ou deux autres arguments constructifs pour la proposition. L'interlocuteur doit aussi prendre du temps pour reconstruire le cas de la proposition.
- d) L'interlocuteur de la deuxième opposition doit aussi introduire un ou deux arguments constructifs. Cet interlocuteur doit aussi prendre du temps pour contredire les nouveaux arguments présentés par la deuxième proposition et résumer le cas présenté par l'opposition. Elle/il ne devrait pas s'engager à faire un résumé/une réfutation général(e) du débat.

6. Résumé / le discours de réfutation

Le premier interlocuteur de chaque côté, en commençant par l'opposition, prononcera un résumé /discours de réfutation de 4 minutes. Il a été décidé qu'il n'y aurait pas de format fixe pour ces discours puisque il existe une variété de stratégies et de techniques qui peuvent être utilisées. En général, les interlocuteurs devraient essayer de résumer les thèmes clés ou les idées qui ont été présentées pendant le débat. L'interlocuteur de ce discours essaie de contextualiser le débat et d'expliquer l'essentielle des arguments ou de logique interne des deux cas et explique pourquoi son équipe devrait être l'équipe gagnante. Ce discours peut examiner et résumer les arguments présentés mais devrait se concentrer sur les éléments principaux de désaccord qui ont été élaborés pendant la ronde. C'est la dernière occasion de l'équipe pour convaincre le juge des raisons pour lesquelles l'équipe a gagné la ronde. Pendant ces discours il est interdit d'introduire de nouveaux arguments constructifs ; cela est permis seulement à l'orateur de la proposition qui fait valoir son droit de répondre aux nouveaux arguments offerts pendant le dernier discours constructif de l'opposition. Il/elle ne peut pas introduire de nouveaux raisonnements. La contre-argumentation et le contre-exemple (ou même la contre-illustration) doivent être en opposition aux points de l'opposition d'une manière étroite et directe.

7. Points d'information

Les points d'information (PDI) sont utilisés dans le Style Mondial et aussi dans une variété d'autres tribunes du débat. Un PDI est une question que l'on pose ou une déclaration que l'on fait durant le discours de son adversaire avec le but de gagner un avantage tactique.

Il est prétendu que chaque interlocuteur offre et accepte des PDI durant la ronde. Les PDIs sont permis seulement pendant les discours constructifs et ne sont pas permis pendant la première et la dernière minute de ce discours (ces minutes sont un « temps protégé ». Pendant la ronde le



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

modérateur frappera le bureau après une minute pour signaler qu'à partir de ce moment-là les PDI sont permis. Le modérateur frappera encore une fois le bureau pour indiquer qu'il reste seulement une minute pour terminer le discours – cela signale que le temps est de nouveau protégé. Les points d'information devraient être courts et pertinents.

Pour offrir un point d'information un orateur peut être debout en silence et, si désiré, avec un bras tendu. Un orateur peut aussi dire tout simplement « sur un point d'information » ou « sur ce point ». L'interlocuteur peut contrôler s'il veut accepter ou non le point. On ne peut pas continuer avec son point d'information sans que la parole soit cédée par l'interlocuteur. L'interlocuteur peut faire une de plusieurs choses :

- a) rejeter le point brièvement, peut être en disant quelque chose comme « non merci » ou « pas en ce moment ». L'orateur qui offre le point s'assoira. On accepte aussi que l'orateur fait signe poliment à l'interlocuteur de s'arrêter sans interrompre verbalement son discours.
- b) accepter le point, permettre que le point d'information soit posé, et puis y répondre. Un interlocuteur peut discuter brièvement le point, choisir d'incorporer le point dans son discours, ou déclarer qu'il va le traiter plus tard (dans ce cas il faut s'assurer de le faire!)
- c) dire quelque chose comme « un instant » ou « quand j'aurai terminé ce point », et puis céder la parole quand il a fini de traiter sa phrase ou sa pensée.

On prétend que chaque orateur acceptera au moins deux PDI pendant ses remarques. Chaque orateur de l'équipe adverse devrait offrir au moins deux PDI à l'orateur qui prononce le discours. Les juges sont chargés de pénaliser les équipes si les limites inférieures ne sont pas atteintes ! La manière de laquelle l'orateur se comporte durant le tumulte de l'offre et l'acceptation de PDI est fondamentale dans ce style de débat.

8. Évaluation

L'évaluation pour ce style de débat est basé sur les critères suivants : contenance et évidence, discussion et raisonnement, organisation, présentation et débit et réfutation. Même si les points d'information ne sont pas évalués à part, ils auront du poids, peut-être du poids considérable en ce qui concerne la décision prise par le juge. Les juges sont encouragés à faire une évaluation holistique et à donner une note finale qui ait du sens en termes absolus et relatifs. La victoire-perde est critique et les juges doivent prendre ceci en considération quand ils prennent une décision. Choisir une équipe gagnante est essentiel et les juges doivent en tenir compte dans leur processus d'évaluation.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019

Montréal, Québec

9. Classement

Le classement est basé principalement sur la victoire-perte et suivi par le total des points gagnés par les interlocuteurs.

10. D'autres points à considérer

Les points d'ordre, les points de privilège personnel et le chahut sont tous interdits.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

National Debating Championships – Spectator Policy

Debating is an activity that takes place between teams of two debaters, and is adjudicated by officials appointed by tournament organizers to judge the debate. Any kind of interference in the process of the debate, either during the preparation, during the actual debate, or after in terms of questionable communication with the judges is not acceptable. Debaters have spent a great deal of time preparing for this event, and they expect the competition will take place with certain basic standards in place in order to guarantee the integrity of the tournament and in a safe environment.

While locally we tend to discourage, and in some cases prohibit, spectators from watching debaters, we realize as a national competition this is not the standard and that a number of people have travelled a great distance to watch students debate. So, we will of course allow spectators, whether they be coaches, school officials, friends, family, etc. but we expect everyone will adhere to the following code of conduct (whether registered at the tournament or not):

- in no way is anyone allowed to interfere with debaters, or help with, the preparation for any debate rounds. Debaters are required to prepare for rounds by themselves, and spectators should physically distance themselves from preparing debaters.
- spectators must, during the debate, remain quiet and discreet at all times and in no way should disturb the debate, or in any way distract any of the debaters. It is inappropriate, for example, to make positive or negative facial gestures at teams, to “signal” debaters when they make a good point, or when it is time to move on to a new point, to “applaud” or gesture in a way that is clearly meant to intimidate the other team, or to in general do anything that makes any debaters uncomfortable or makes any kind of noise. Observers are expected to be quiet and do just that, observe the debate. Any kind of active involvement or engagement with the debaters or judges is unacceptable and will be addressed.
- any spectator who does not abide by the code of conduct, may be warned by the head judge, and if it is a further issue, head judges are authorized to ask spectators to leave the room if the integrity of the debate is in question. Further steps may be taken by the organizers, should the behaviour continue.
- spectators, in order to minimize disruptions, may only enter at the beginning of the debate, and leave at the end. Judges reserve the right to decide where everyone sits in the room.
- spectators must leave the room during judge deliberations, and **ONLY THE DEBATERS themselves are allowed in the room for judge’s comments**. The event is closed adjudication, meaning that judges will not reveal who has won or lost.
- spectators must refrain from speech or behaviour that is disruptive, aggressive, threatening, offensive, discriminatory or that detracts from the spirit of collegiality of the event.
- debaters, or their coaches in their place, who have a negative encounter with spectators are asked to bring the matter to the attention to the adjudication team. Those who have behaved in a manner that is inappropriate could be barred from observing future rounds, or be asked to leave the tournament site.
- there may be a very limited number of debate rooms that are not full size classrooms for which we may have to require that no spectators be allowed to enter. There will not be many, and they will be



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019

Montréal, Québec

clearly marked, but this is beyond our control because spectators would intrude upon the personal space of debaters and judges.

As well, the following are more general notes and apply to **non-registered participants** who may wish to observe the debate tournament:

- there is no food available at any time – only registered delegates may eat any of the meals.
- there is no transportation provided at any time. The buses are full to capacity and spaces were counted carefully. Only paid participants may use the buses, and others will need to make their own transportation to and from Lower Canada College on the Friday or Saturday.
- you may be required to sign in as a visitor at the school.
- we are also going to need to take what may seem an extraordinary measure, but is necessary due to the number of participants who registered and the size of the hotel facilities, and that is that **NO observers are allowed at any time at any of the hotel venues**. In particular, access to the banquet hall, debating rooms, and areas where the debating will take place on Sunday, May 26th is closed to all non-registered participants. There is simply not enough physical space and we are already stretching the capacity of the hotel.
- we will have people carefully checking for name tags to ensure adherence to these points.

We thank you in advance for your understanding and for the making the environment as comfortable as possible for all participants.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Clarification of Rules Regarding Impromptu Topic Preparation

This is to clarify the rules regarding preparation for the impromptu rounds at the National Debating Championships. The rule applies to both the Junior and French championships. The rules are in effect for all impromptu rounds, which includes rounds three to six for everyone on Saturday, May 25th, and for the break rounds on Sunday, May 26th, from quarters right through to the finals.

For all impromptu rounds, **preparation must be done without any materials or resources, print or electronic, and without assistance of anyone other than your debate partner.**

Having said that, where required, accommodation for debaters who require a laptop or other device to take notes, etc. is of course allowed.

This means, for example, that you may not use a laptop, Iphone, or any other electronic device, to access stored or online materials, nor can these devices be used to contact anyone by text, phone call or other means. In fact, they really should not even be visible to anyone during the preparation period. You also may not have any print materials such as magazines, newspapers, dictionaries, thesauruses, almanacs, books of quotes, etc. You also are not allowed to have any previously debated cases with you, which you may have prepared or debated previously. **Anything other than blank paper and a pencil / pen is strictly prohibited.**

You must also be sure to note that you may not prepare with other teams from your school, or province, etc; you may prepare only with your specific debate partner. Needless to say, consulting your coach, parents, or provincial representative is strictly against the rules. However, in the unlikely event of some confusion over the meaning of terms in an impromptu motion, you may **ONLY** ask a member of the Adjudication Team for clarification of the terms.

However, as is customary, we will allow you to use your phones as **timing devices only** for your own speech during the actual round if you wish. Please be sure you follow these rules carefully. The spirit of these rules should be crystal clear to everyone. The preparation periods will be monitored and any violations of the rules will be dealt with, and may include disqualification.

Please do not put the organizing committee in the awkward position of having to deal with such incidents. Thank you very much for your co-operation.



Junior National Debating Championships Championnat national de débat français

May 23-26, 2019 / 23 à 26 mai, 2019
Montréal, Québec

Junior National Debating Championships - Scoring Range

65 – 70 (very rare): This speech was poor. Stylistically it was weak and from the perspective of matter brought very little if anything to the round. Most debaters who receive this score will have filled little of their time. There was no real honest attempt made to debate, and it was generally ineffective and lacked any real structure. Scores in the higher end of this range will show some attempt to deal with the issues and the actual topic, but was still quite a poor speech. Speeches that are deemed offensive in nature can also fall into this category. We will likely ask you to justify this score.

70 - 74: This speech was below average, but they have made a good attempt at a structured debate speech. The debater may or may not have filled most of their time, but there were significant gaps in both style and logic that detracted from the speech. While the debater had an understanding of the issues in the round, he/she did not do a great job addressing them. This debater perhaps did not offer any points of information, or answer or deal with any effectively.

75 - 79 (most scores are often in this range): This speech was average. The debater was competent and generally managed to identify the major issues in the debate, both in constructive arguments and in refutation. He/she presented sufficient matter which was generally well organized. Stylistically he/she was not brilliant but at least easy to listen to, and he/she at least offered or answered some points of information. The debater will likely have filled time, and generally did all they were supposed to do.

80 - 84 (the strongest debaters will consistently score around here): This speech was generally very good. A score of 80% is the benchmark for someone who did everything they were supposed to, and did it quite well. The debater filled all of their time, understood the key issues of the topic, offered good points of information and answered them effectively, constructed relevant arguments in a logical and organized manner, refuted all arguments adequately, was stylistically excellent and/or had interesting and thought-provoking analysis. This debater did all that they were called on to do and it was generally a polished speech, but you can still find some room for improvement. A debater who averages scores in the higher end of this range over all of their rounds will likely win, or come very close to, the top speaker award.

85 – 89 (rare): This speech was outstanding, and of a quality you rarely see. The debater had excellent style and charisma, and brilliant analysis. They brought up arguments that were insightful, creative, relevant and well developed. The argumentation and analysis were far beyond what one would expect from a high school student. This debater offered excellent points of information, and answered any that were asked confidently and effectively. All arguments were refuted systematically and thoroughly. You will likely not see a speech of this caliber, but they do happen, and even then you should stick to the lower end of this range. You will have thoroughly listening to this speech and were sad when his/her time elapsed. You should be prepared to justify why you gave this score.

Junior Nationals - Team Codes

Debater Name:	Team Code:	Debater Name:	Team Code:
Anna Beaudry Patrick Shield	Acton Vale Acton Vale	Sean Oh Simran Vig	Gatineau Gatineau
Livia Ion Julia Wang	Amos Amos	Lily Rich Kendall Barnes	Granby Granby
Simran Dhami Thomas Beschell	Baie Comeau Baie Comeau	Penelope Cholakis Montserrat Alvarez	Hudson Hudson
Lachlan Van Egmond Pahul Singh	Beauceville Beauceville	Aliya Kabani Rhea Gupta	Huntingdon Huntingdon
Helen Pan Emily Li	Bedford Bedford	Kuan Zhang Nazar Viznytsya	Joliette Joliette
Hana Aref Taher Christina Liu	Beloeil Beloeil	Danae Pepelassis Ava Olin	Lachute Lachute
Hana Sereda Petra Planden	Berthierville Berthierville	Ana Koplovitch Zahra Slutchuk	Lacolle Lacolle
Kaari Urbanek Emma Jane-Burns	Châteauguay Châteauguay	Marya Syed Gregoria Ginakes	Les Coteaux Les Coteaux
Jesse Liu Alex Logan	Chicoutimi Chicoutimi	Margaret Goulding Arjan O'Brien	Louiseville Louiseville
Maya Mior Natalie Viegas	Cowansville Cowansville	Julia Reimer Anaïs Lewis	Magog Magog
Eric Li Jonathan Chu	Drummondville Drummondville	Charlie Simms Evan Laurence	Maskinongé Maskinongé
Jack Berry Ethan Chan	Farnham Farnham	Danica Scully Ashton McNamara	Mont Laurier Mont Laurier
Puneet Chhina Anisha Biswas	Gaspé Gaspé	William Ahern Thane Morgan	Montréal Montréal

Debaters are given team codes that do not identify school or province. Please use these codes only during the debates and do otherwise identify where are you from to the judges.

Junior Nationals - Team Codes

Debater Name:	Team Code:	Debater Name:	Team Code:
Aidan Eisan	Napierville	Jacob Surry	Saint Rémi
Max Mitchell	Napierville	Alexandre Laurin	Saint Rémi
Holly Jamieson	Pierreville	Sasha Klein	Saint Sulpice
Cydney Carruthers	Pierreville	Matthew Homa	Saint Sulpice
Xander Biddulph	Port Cartier	Dylan Lee	Sainte Adèle
Briley Hillyard	Port Cartier	Daniel Bergevin	Sainte Adèle
Jake Waldner	Portneuf	Cameron O'Hare	Sainte Croix
Nora McLennan	Portneuf	Claudia Leroux	Sainte Croix
Vivek Sapru	Québec	Alexa Richstone	Sainte Justine
Jessica Yu	Québec	Amanda Sultan	Sainte Justine
Rally Lin	Rawdon	Annabelle Kollmar	Shawinigan
Alastair Thorburn-Vitols	Rawdon	Phillippine Delaby	Shawinigan
Jasma Zhou	Rigaud	Liam Leduc-Boyle	Sherbrooke
Michael Chen	Rigaud	Adriano Morehouse	Sherbrooke
Ethan Chiang	Rimouski	Muhammad Mahmood	Sorel
Edward Yang	Rimouski	Fatima Elawad	Sorel
Yusef Elmaraghy	Roberval	Dishita Emayararamban	Thetford Mines
Ashley Liu	Roberval	Rafay Ahmed	Thetford Mines
Hugo Hu	Rouyn Noranda	Elliott Zdunich-Costa	Trois Rivières
Tony Wu	Rouyn Noranda	Ty Deutscher	Trois Rivières
Andrew Shi	Saint Bruno	Anne Kimpton	Val d'Or
Daniel Lu	Saint Bruno	Lauren Chang	Val d'Or
Angei Lei	Saint Félicien	Sahasro Bhowmik	Varennes
Paul Zheng	Saint Félicien	Lucas Tarry	Varennes
Penelope Siozos	Saint Hyacinthe	Will Shevkenek	Victoriaville
Yuran Wang	Saint Hyacinthe	Rohan Nair	Victoriaville
Talia Trottenberg	Saint Jérôme	Fatima Anees	Ville Marie
Mia Su	Saint Jérôme	Huda Abdelkerim	Ville Marie

Debaters are given team codes that do not identify school or province. Please use these codes only during the debates and do otherwise identify where are you from to the judges.

French Nationals - Team Codes

Debater Name:	Team Code:	Debater Name:	Team Code:
Anouk Demers Maëva Gariépy	Abbott Abbott	Emmy Betty Aliya Frendo	McGee McGee
Laurie-Anne Vidori Joey Provost	Béliveau Béliveau	Emily Deng David Kanter	Molson Molson
Tristan Gadeau Emmanuel Maurice	Bouchard Bouchard	Parker Keeley Edward Li	Mulroney Mulroney
Emily Ni Nikki Wu	Cartier Cartier	Joy Liu Catherine Ma	Papineau Papineau
JoJo Zhang Darren Yang	Cohen Cohen	Ayleen Farnood Michele Fu	Payette Payette
Vivian Gu Brooke Soobrian	Dion Dion	Alice Chen Abgail Robitaille	Penfield Penfield
Angelina Zhang Kiran Basra	Drapeau Drapeau	Jamie Rygiel-Baban Jonah Leib	Plante Plante
Reed Tucker Alice Ferguson-O'Brien	Duplessis Duplessis	Ariane Bussière Annie-Laurine Sezikeye	Redpath Redpath
Renaud Blais Ella Waxman	Lafleur Lafleur	Zainab Ahmed Josephine Greenhill	Richard Richard
Heather Li Kathryn Pozios	Laurier Laurier	Olivia Integlia Giordano Mandato	Villeneuve Villeneuve

Debaters are given team codes that do not identify school or province. Please use these codes only during the debates and do otherwise identify where are you from to the judges.

Friday Activity in Old Montreal Groups and Bus Assignments

Bus Number:	One		
Bus Leader:	Elspeth Easton		
Asst. Bus Leader:	Kathryn Bjornson		
Group One:		Group Two:	
Anna Beaudry	Debater	Kaari Urbanek	Debater
Patrick Shield	Debater	Emma Jane-Burns	Debater
Jesse Liu	Debater	Jake Waldner	Debater
Alex Logan	Debater	Nora McLennan	Debater
Talia Trottenberg	Debater	Holly Jamieson	Debater
Mia Su	Debater	Cydney Carruthers	Debater
Helga Shield	Adult	Reva Morrison	Adult
Jacqueline Belzberg	Adult	Kathryn Bjornson	Adult
Jessica Marrone	Adult		
Group Three:		Group Four:	
Penelope Siozos	Debater	Jack Berry	Debater
Yuran Wang	Debater	Ethan Chan	Debater
Zainab Ahmed	Debater	Olivia Integlia	Debater
Josephine Greenhill	Debater	Giordano Mandato	Debater
Joy Liu	Debater	Liam Leduc-Boyle	Debater
Catherine Ma	Debater	Adriano Morehouse	Debater
Christina Orlando	Adult	Elspeth Easton	Adult
Anne Bigham	Adult	Greg Loudon	Adult
Group Five:		Other:	
Aidan Eisan	Debater	Carmen Brauer	
Max Mitchell	Debater	Alyson Duff	
Alexa Richstone	Debater		
Amanda Sultan	Debater		
Angelina Zhang	Debater		
Kiran Basra	Debater		
Jennifer Hann	Adult		
Donna Hastings	Adult		
Frankie Cena	Adult		
<p>The groups each consist mostly of 6 debaters, with 2 or 3 adults assigned as chaperons for each group. Each group must have at least one chaperon. Not every adult assigned to a group need stay with the group, but do please ensure that no groups are left unchaperoned. Those listed as "other" may choose to join one of the groups, or do their own thing, or trade with one of the assigned adults in a group.</p>			

Friday Activity in Old Montreal Groups and Bus Assignments

Bus Number:	Two		
Bus Leader:	Grace Nolan		
Asst. Bus Leader:	David Smith		
Group One:		Group Two:	
Maya Mior	Debater	Jamie Rygiel-Baban	Debater
Natalie Viegas	Debater	Jonah Leibu	Debater
Tristan Gadeau	Debater	Emmy Betty	Debater
Emmanuel Maurice	Debater	Aliya Frendo	Debater
Cameron O'Hare	Debater	Marya Syed	Debater
Claudia Leroux	Debater	Gregoria Ginakes	Debater
Mathis Malenfant	Adult	Grace Nolan	Adult
Sadia Di Pietro	Adult	Lindsay Nicol	Adult
		Bernice Lucas	Adult
Group Three:		Group Four:	
Laurie-Anne Vidori	Debater	Anouk Demers	Debater
Joey Provost	Debater	Maëva Gariépy	Debater
Ariane Bussière	Debater	Simran Dhami	Debater
Annie-Laurine Sezikeye	Debater	Thomas Beschell	Debater
Annabelle Kollmar	Debater	Helen Pan	Debater
Phillippine Delaby	Debater	Emily Li	Debater
Sandrine Beaudry	Adult	Jean-Francois Tessier	Adult
David Smith	Adult	Christine Lang	Adult
		Michelle Murphy	Adult
Other:			
Agatha Beschell			
Parm Mior			
Sandy Viegas			
Nicholas Viegas			
Desmond Viegas			
Todd Beschell			
Panthea Pourmalek			
Corina Picui			
Arsalan Ghaemi			
<p>The groups each consist mostly of 6 debaters, with 2 or 3 adults assigned as chaperons for each group. Each group must have at least one chaperon. Not every adult assigned to a group need stay with the group, but do please ensure that no groups are left unchaperoned. Those listed as "other" may choose to join one of the groups, or do their own thing, or trade with one of the assigned adults in a group.</p>			

Friday Activity in Old Montreal Groups and Bus Assignments

Bus Number:	Three		
Bus Leader:	John Robinson		
Asst. Bus Leader:	Maxwell Wiltzer		
Group One:		Group Two:	
Penelope Cholakis	Debater	Jacob Surry	Debater
Montserrat Alvarez	Debater	Alexandre Laurin	Debater
Aliya Kabani	Debater	Lachlan Van Egmond	Debater
Rhea Gupta	Debater	Pahul Singh	Debater
Danae Pepelassis	Debater	Livia Ion	Debater
Ava Olin	Debater	Julia Wang	Debater
John Robinson	Adult	Sarbjit Gill	Adult
		Alex Wang	Adult
		Maxwell Wiltzer	Adult
Group Three:		Group Four:	
Margaret Goulding	Debater	Hana Aref Taher	Debater
Arjan O'Brien	Debater	Christina Liu	Debater
Reed Tucker	Debater	Hana Sereda	Debater
Alice Ferguson-O'Brien	Debater	Petra Planden	Debater
Julia Reimer	Debater	Renaud Blais	Debater
Anaïs Lewis	Debater	Ella Waxman	Debater
Julia Abundo	Adult	Alex Benarzi	Adult
Group Five:		Other:	
Emily Ni	Debater	Baljit Singh	
Nikki Wu	Debater		
Vivian Gu	Debater		
Brooke Soobrian	Debater		
Hugo Hu	Debater		
Tony Wu	Debater		
Anna Saint	Adult		
Mita Bhattacharyya	Adult		
<p>The groups each consist mostly of 6 debaters, with 2 or 3 adults assigned as chaperons for each group. Each group must have at least one chaperon. Not every adult assigned to a group need stay with the group, but do please ensure that no groups are left unchaperoned. Those listed as "other" may choose to join one of the groups, or do their own thing, or trade with one of the assigned adults in a group.</p>			

Friday Activity in Old Montreal Groups and Bus Assignments

Bus Number:	Four		
Bus Leader:	Jon Bracewell		
Asst. Bus Leader:	Dan Elbling		
Group One:		Group Two:	
Sasha Klein	Debater	Fatima Anees	Debater
Matthew Homa	Debater	Huda Abdelkerim	Debater
Dylan Lee	Debater	Anne Kimpton	Debater
Daniel Bergevin	Debater	Lauren Chang	Debater
Dishita Emayararamban	Debater	Puneet Chhina	Debater
Rafay Ahmed	Debater	Anisha Biswas	Debater
Jon Bracewell	Adult	Melissa Mah	Adult
Elyn Achtymichuk-Hardy	Adult	Morag Embleton Kimpton	Adult
		Harmandeep Chhina	Adult
Group Three:		Group Four:	
Muhammad Mahmood	Debater	Will Shevkenek	Debater
Fatima Elawad	Debater	Rohan Nair	Debater
Danica Scully	Debater	Charlie Simms	Debater
Ashton McNamara	Debater	Evan Laurence	Debater
William Ahern	Debater	Xander Biddulph	Debater
Thane Morgan	Debater	Briley Hillyard	Debater
Yasir Elawad	Adult	Marilee Langill	Adult
Wardah Mahmood	Adult	Raj Nair	Adult
Nick Morrow	Adult	Patricia Donnelly	Adult
Other:			
Dan Elbling			
Rabia Shahid			
Shahid Ahmed			
Anaya Ahmed			
Jack Stewart			
Brian Casey			
Kaushik Biswas			
Sanchita Biswas			
<p>The groups each consist mostly of 6 debaters, with 2 or 3 adults assigned as chaperons for each group. Each group must have at least one chaperon. Not every adult assigned to a group need stay with the group, but do please ensure that no groups are left unchaperoned. Those listed as "other" may choose to join one of the groups, or do their own thing, or trade with one of the assigned adults in a group.</p>			

Friday Activity in Old Montreal Groups and Bus Assignments

Bus Number:	Five		
Bus Leader:	Andrew Kaplan		
Asst. Bus Leader:	Liz Stratton		
Group One:		Group Two:	
Ana Koplovitch	Debater	Rally Lin	Debater
Zahra Slutchuk	Debater	Alastair Thorburn-Vitols	Debater
Kuan Zhang	Debater	Vivek Sapru	Debater
Nazar Viznytsya	Debater	Jessica Yu	Debater
Heather Li	Debater	Sean Oh	Debater
Kathryn Pozios	Debater	Simran Vig	Debater
Andrew Kaplan	Adult	Liz Stratton	Adult
Hamish Guthrie	Adult	Miranda Collett	Adult
Group Three:		Group Four:	
Ayleen Farnood	Debater	Alice Chen	Debater
Michele Fu	Debater	Abgail Robitaille	Debater
Emily Deng	Debater	Angei Lei	Debater
David Kanter	Debater	Paul Zheng	Debater
Lucas Tarry	Debater	Andrew Shi	Debater
Sahasro Bhowmik	Debater	Daniel Lu	Debater
Kieran Kreidie-Akazaki	Adult	Fonda Loft	Adult
Dave Tarry	Adult	Tayyabah Ahmed	Adult
Group Five:		Other:	
Yusef Elmaraghy	Debater	Cara Woodruff	
Ashley Liu	Debater	Katelyn Tarry	
Ty Deutscher	Debater	Pankaj Kumar Bhowmik	
Elliott Zdunich-Costa	Debater		
Eric Li	Debater		
Jonathan Chu	Debater		
Glenda Stoneman	Adult		
Matt Deutscher	Adult		
Wendy Zdunich-Costa	Adult		
<p>The groups each consist mostly of 6 debaters, with 2 or 3 adults assigned as chaperons for each group. Each group must have at least one chaperon. Not every adult assigned to a group need stay with the group, but do please ensure that no groups are left unchaperoned. Those listed as "other" may choose to join one of the groups, or do their own thing, or trade with one of the assigned adults in a group.</p>			

THANK YOU TO OUR GENEROUS SPONSORS
MERCI À NOS GÉNÉREUX COMMANDITAIRES

LOWER CANADA COLLEGE
HOST SCHOOL/ÉCOLE D'ACCUEIL



LCC



QUEBEC STUDENT DEBATING
ASSOCIATION



Barreau de
Montréal



ENGLISH SPEAKING UNION
OF CANADA



CSDF - FCDÉ

Canadian Student Debating Federation
Fédération canadienne des débats d'étudiants